

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,111	SHATTUCK, DON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alison K. Pickard	3673	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

(2) Matt Denehy.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
11-13

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to suggest amending the claims so that the transmission is positively claimed to overcome the prior art of record (e.g. Fujikawa) and such that it was the same language as in the parent patent. The suggestion was approved and entered via examiner's amendment.